



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/764,343	01/23/2004	Srdan Mutabdzija	A2000-700019	5779

37462 7590 09/30/2008  
LOWRIE, LANDO & ANASTASI, LLP  
ONE MAIN STREET, SUITE 1100  
CAMBRIDGE, MA 02142

EXAMINER
----------

CAVALLARI, DANIEL J

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2836

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

09/30/2008

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@ll-a.com  
gengelso@ll-a.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/764,343	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MUTABDZIJA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> DANIEL CAVALLARI	<b>Art Unit</b> 2836	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) DANIEL CAVALLARI. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Greg Gerstenzang. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 23 September 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 2.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached.    g) ☐ was not reached.    h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant discussed objections to the drawings including arguments previously presented by the Applicant and amendments to the drawings and specification. The Examiner agreed to withdraw the objections in light of proposed amendments to the claims clarifying the relationship between the "external system" and the UPS.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/Michael J Sherry/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2836
--	--